UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,639	07/10/2006	Petrus Martinus Leonardus Beks	F3332(C)	1939
	7590 06/26/200 ATENT GROUP	EXAMINER		
800 SYLVAN AVENUE			TAPOLCAI, WILLIAM E	
AG West S. Wing ENGLEWOOD CLIFFS, NJ 07632-3100)	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3744	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/26/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/550,639	BEKS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William E. Tapolcai	3744				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 D	ecember 2008					
	action is non-final.					
<i>i</i>	/ 					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	_					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers	·					
<u> </u>						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some coll None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te				

Application/Control Number: 10/550,639 Page 2

Art Unit: 3744

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 3,204,421 to Jirel in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,185,951 to Lane et al. Jirel discloses the claimed invention of a display and dispensing assembly having a freezer cabinet 10 and a housing 14 located externally of the cabinet. The assembly 14 is removable from the freezer cabinet 12. Jirel further discloses a first heat transfer means 70-76 located within the housing, and a second heat transfer means 46 located in the cabinet 12. However, Jirel does not disclose that a heat transfer fluid is pumped external to the freezer cabinet. Lane et al teaches a display case having an external fluid that is delivered to the cabinet 10 by a pump 18. Thus, it would be obvious to cool the cabinet 12 of Jirel with an external fluid that is pumped, in view of Lane et al, to yield the predictable result of using a cooling fluid that is non-toxic. The type of tubing used in Jirel is a matter of obvious choice, as flexible tubing is well known. No criticality or unexpected results are seen or have been disclosed for the use of flexible tubing in Jirel.
- 3. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jirel in view of Lane et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 3,091,946 to Kesling. Jirel as modified above by Lane et al discloses the claimed invention except for the adjustable foot. Kesling teaches a refrigerator having a cabinet

Art Unit: 3744

with an adjustable foot 60. Thus, it would be obvious to provide the cabinet of Jirel with an adjustable foot, in view of Kesling, to yield the predictable result of making sure the cabinet is level.

- 4. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is not on a separate sheet. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William E. Tapolcai whose telephone number is (571) 272-4814. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs., 6:30 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl J. Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/550,639 Page 4

Art Unit: 3744

/William E. Tapolcai/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3744

wet June 22, 2009